

REMARKABLE ECLIPSES.

W. T. LYNN.

TENTH EDITION.

PRICE SIXPENCE NET.



TOTAL SOLAR ECLIPSE OF AUGUST 30TH, 1905, AS PHOTOGRAPHED AT SFAX.

REMARKABLE ECLIPSES:

A SKETCH OF THE MOST
INTERESTING CIRCUMSTANCES CONNECTED
WITH THE OBSERVATION
OF SOLAR AND LUNAR ECLIPSES,
BOTH IN ANCIENT AND MODERN TIMES.

BY

WILLIAM THYNNE LYNN,
B.A. F.R.A.S.,

MEMBER OF THE BRITISH ASTRONOMICAL ASSOCIATION ;
FORMERLY OF THE ROYAL OBSERVATORY, GREENWICH ; AUTHOR OF
' CELESTIAL MOTIONS,' ' REMARKABLE COMETS,'
ETC., ETC.

TENTH EDITION, REVISED.

Multa terricolis lingua, cœlestibus una.

LONDON :
SAMUEL BAGSTER & SONS LIMITED,
15, PATERNOSTER ROW, E.C.

1909.

PREFACE.

THE favourable reception of the former editions of this little work, the first of which was published in 1896, has induced the author to issue a tenth, brought up to date of the present year, with some improvements. Practically, it consists of two parts, though without formal division : a sketch of the historical and chronological bearing of eclipses seen before their scientific study began, which may be said to date from the one which was total in England in the year 1715; and a rapid survey of the observations made of those which have occurred since, and the discoveries resulting from them.

By the kind permission of the Astronomer Royal, Sir William H. M. Christie, I am enabled to reproduce, as a frontispiece to this work, one of his beautiful photographs of the total eclipse of the 30th of August, 1905, taken at Sfax in Tunisia.

W. T. L.

Blackheath, March, **1909**.

REMARKABLE ECLIPSES.

ECLIPSES of the Sun are of three kinds : total, annular, and partial. The length of the Moon's shadow is about equal to her distance from the Earth; but as that distance varies, owing to the eccentricity of her orbit, the shadow may reach the Earth or may fall a little short of doing so. In the former case, when the Moon passes centrally between the Earth and Sun, the latter will be for a short time (the longest duration less than eight minutes) completely obscured or eclipsed along a line (never exceeding 170 miles in width), which the Moon's shadow traverses in its course. Over a larger belt on either side of this, part of the Sun will be covered from a spectator located therein, and there will be a *partial* eclipse. But if the Moon, at the time of coming centrally between the Earth and Sun, is at or near the part of her orbit where she is furthest from us, her shadow will not reach the Earth, but in places on the central line along a zone of very narrow width, she will be seen on the Sun apparently not large enough to cover

it, but leaving a ring (Lat. *annulus)* of bright sunlight all round her dark disc : this is called an annular eclipse. Outside this narrow zone, on either side of it, as in the case of a total eclipse, there will be a partial eclipse. Some eclipses, of course, are nowhere more than partial, on account of the Moon's shadow falling above or below the Earth, so that only a portion, greater or smaller, of the Sun is obscured at any place.

The eccentricity of the Moon's orbit is the principal cause of the differences above spoken of with regard to a central eclipse being total or annular. But the eccentricity of the Earth's orbit and the position in it at which the Earth (and attendant Moon) is situated at the time of the eclipse, has some effect upon this and upon the length of time which the eclipse, if total, lasts as such. The diameter of the Sun is about 866,000 miles ; his mean distance from us is nearly 93,000,000 miles, but the actual distance varies between about 91,500,000 and 94,500,000, the difference being 3,000,000, or about the thirtieth part of the whole. The diameter of the Moon is about 2,160 miles. Her distance from the Earth varies between 253,000 and 221,600 miles; the difference being 31,400 miles, nearly an eighth part of the whole.

That which renders total eclipses of the Sun peculiarly interesting in a scientific point of view is that the extinction of his light by the interposition of the Moon enables us to see masses of matter surrounding him, the comparatively feeble light of which is at other times overpowered by his brilliancy. The observation of these has formed one of the most interesting subjects of study in astronomy since special attention was first directed to them on the occasion of the great total eclipse seen in Southern Europe in 1842. But before entering on that and later eclipses, we propose to give a brief survey of the most remarkable eclipses recorded in history, some of which have helped to throw light upon disputed questions in chronology, as we are able to compute the dates of these and to compare them with the accounts related by historians; that is, when these are sufficiently precise to make identification of the calculated and observed phenomena possible.

The ancient Egyptian records have hitherto afforded no instance in which this could be done with any probability; and though ingenious attempts have been made to identify a few alluded to in the Rig-Veda, it cannot be said that these have been very successful. The earliest mention of a solar eclipse of which

the actual date can be fixed with a high degree of probability is to be found on a Babylonian tablet which was deciphered by Mr. L. W. King, of the British Museum, in 1905, and identified by Mr. Cowell, of the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, with one found by calculation to have taken place on the 31st of July, B.C. 1063. One in the Chinese annals is said to have taken place in the sixth year of King Yew, of the Chow dynasty, which appears to have corresponded to B.C. 776, the first year, by a remarkable coincidence, of the first Greek Olympiad. The record is found in the 'She-King,' a series of poetical works collected by one of the disciples of Confucius, and the writer expresses his dread of a phenomenon (of a far more terrible kind, he thinks, than an eclipse of the Moon) which presaged the disruption of all proper government.* It appears very probable that the eclipse was one which calculation shows to have taken place on the 6th of September in the above year.

The Assyrian tablets record three eclipses

* One stanza of the poem may be quoted here as translated by the late Prof. Legge :— .

" These bodies, erring, what is bad make known
Good men neglected, order all o'erthrown ;
The Moon eclipsed was what full oft takes place,
The Sun's eclipse portends a sadder case."

Perhaps the second line is intended to contain a covert reference to the writer.

said, an eclipse did occur in April, and this would have been large in Persia, but not visible in Greece or Asia Minor. But another suggestion has since been made by Prof. Bury, which seems not unlikely to be the true solution. A total eclipse passed over Greece and Asia Minor on the 17th of February, B.C. 478, two years after Salamis, and Herodotus (who was a young child at the time) may have inadvertently transferred its date to the impressive and significant occasion of the battle-year.

An eclipse was total in Greece on the 30th of April, B.C. 463, which is thought to have been seen by Anaxagoras at Athens—Eusebius says that it occurred in the second year of Artaxerxes.

The next eclipse of the Sun possessing historic interest took place in B.C. 431, the first year of the Peloponnesian war. Calculation shows that an annular eclipse occurred on the 3rd of August in that year, the central line of which passed over the north-western shore of the Euxine in a south-easterly direction. Plutarch* relates an amusing anecdote with regard to it; that the pilot of a ship in which Pericles was about to sail for the Peloponnesus was much alarmed at the phenomenon,

* He gives it as a bit of gossip, but puts it in the second year of the war. The eclipse occurred in the first, as stated by Thucydides.

Whilst Agathocles was blockaded in Syracuse by the Carthaginian fleet, he formed the design of eluding them and invading their territories in Africa, which he succeeded in doing whilst they were attacking a convoy of provision-ships, and then escaped in the darkness of night. Next day "there was such an eclipse of the sun that the day wholly put on the appearance of night, and the stars were seen in all parts of the sky." The eclipse was evidently the total one of the 15th of August, B.C. 310. We need not enter into its details, which have been discussed by Airy and more recently by Mr. Cowell and others. The date of the expedition is determined without any doubt and with great accuracy, but there is some doubt about the route followed by Agathocles.

A few solar eclipses have been supposed to be referred to in early Roman history, but the expressions are ambiguous and the conclusions doubtful. One of these occurred on the 11th of February in the year B.C. 217, that of Hannibal's second campaign in Italy, about two months before his victory over Flaminius at the Lake Trasimene; it was total in Northern Africa in the afternoon, passing in a north-easterly direction from near Cape Verde to the eastern Mediterranean,

between the islands of Crete and Cyprus, so that there was a large partial eclipse in Italy. Another (a comparatively small one) occurred on the 19th of October, B.C. 202, the year of the battle of Zama, in which Scipio defeated Hannibal in Africa, and thus put an end to the second Punic War. Some modern writers erroneously connect the battle (which appears to have been fought in the early part of the summer) with the eclipse.

An erroneous statement has often been made that an eclipse of the Sun took place when Julius Caesar crossed the Rubicon in his march from Gaul to Rome. The exact date of that event is not known, but it was probably about the beginning of the year B.C. 49. An eclipse (annular in some places) took place on the 9th of August in that year, and is mentioned by Dion Cassius as one of several prodigies which occurred whilst Pompey was at Dyrrachium, just a year before the battle of Pharsalia.

Of the eclipses which took place after the Christian era, the first to be mentioned is one stated by Phlegon to have occurred in the eighteenth year of the reign of Tiberius, doubtless that calculated to have been total in Asia Minor on the 24th of November, A.D. 29, a few months before the Crucifixion of our Lord.

Another is mentioned by Tacitus as having occurred in the year in which Agrippina was murdered by her son, the Emperor Nero, which corresponds to A.D. 59 ; the day of this eclipse was April 30.

One also took place in A.D. 71, the first year of the reign of Vespasian, on the 20th of March. But we now come to a time when reference is first made to a phenomenon, the study of which forms in our days the chief scientific interest of a total eclipse of the Sun ; this refers, of course, to the so-called corona, which is only fully manifested on these occasions, though it is also less distinctly seen in annular and large partial eclipses, and there seems reason to think that in ancient times it may have been stronger and occasionally visible when there was no eclipse at all.* But it is thought that the earliest mention of it is by Plutarch, in chapter xix. of his treatise 'On the Face appearing in the Orb of the Moon.' Speaking of what is seen during a solar eclipse (probably the one, then recent, in A.D. 71, just mentioned), he says that the obscuration by the Moon "has no time to last and no extensiveness, but some light shows itself round

* See a letter by the author in 'The Observatory', vol. ix. p. 129 (March, 1886) in reference to a passage in Philostratus.

was also seen in north-western Europe in the year A.D. 485, on the 29th of May.

The first solar eclipse recorded to have been seen in this country, took place on the 15th of February, A.D. 538, and is mentioned in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle. It was four years after the death of Cerdic, the first king of the West-Saxons. About two-thirds of the Sun's disc seem to have been eclipsed at London. Another, annular in some parts of England, occurred on the 14th of August in the year A.D. 733, and is also mentioned in the Chronicle as being the year in which Ethelbald, King of Mercia, took Somerton. A total one took place in A.D. 878 on the 29th of October ; the Chronicle speaks of an eclipse in the following year, A.D. 879, when none occurred, but several of its dates (as printed) are erroneous about that time, so that the eclipse may be taken as proving that King Alfred's great victory over the Danes in Wiltshire occurred in the year before 878, *i.e.,* A.D. 877.

An eclipse which was total over the northern part of Norway occurred on the 31st of August, A.D. 1030. It was in that year St. Olaf, trying to recover the crown of Norway (then in the possession of Knut or Canute, King of England and Denmark), was killed in the battle of Stiklestad ; and some of the accounts in

the Sagas state that this occurred on the day of the eclipse. This is, however, doubtful, some historians placing the battle a month earlier.

A very large solar eclipse occurred on the 2nd of August, A.D. 1133, the year in which Henry I. left England and passed over into Normandy for the last time. William of Malmesbury states that this was on the day after Lammas whilst the King was crossing the Channel on his last voyage; notwithstanding which some later historians erroneously place it at the time of the King's death, two years later.

The year A.D. 1140 was remarkable for the last total solar eclipse visible in England for several centuries. Indeed, there have been none since, except those of 1715 and 1724, up to the present time. That of 1140 took place on the 20th of March. The Saxon Chronicle says of it, "In Lent the Sun darkened about the noon-tide of the day, when men were eating; and they lighted candles to eat by....Men were very much struck with wonder."

There is no occasion to mention all the succeeding accounts of eclipses until they became of scientific interest. But it may amuse, as a remarkable instance of how blunders are sometimes made by merely

mistaking words, just to refer to the statement of several historians, that a "fearful eclipse" took place on the morning of the battle of Crecy. Now, it was fought on the 26th of August, A.D. 1346, about a week after the New Moon, so that no eclipse could have happened; as a matter of fact, no solar eclipse was visible in Europe during the year. The source of the mistake was pointed out by Sir George Cornewall Lewis in 1863, only a short time before his death on the 13th of April in that year. Froissart, in describing the battle, uses the old French word *esclistre,* which means lightning, but was erroneously taken to mean eclipse; the storm was "moult [an obsolete French word derived from *multum,* of which Littré regretted the loss] grand et moult horrible"; hence the epithet "fearful" applied to the supposed eclipse, which had no real existence.

We will now pass on to the notices of those phenomena which have in our days rendered solar eclipses of such very great interest. The first of these was in reference to one which was total in Switzerland on the 12th of May (N.S.), 1706, and was observed by Capt. Stannyan of Berne. As this is probably the first mention of the phenomena which became so famous in later times, under the designation

of red flames or rose-coloured protuberances, it may be well to quote his exact words, in which, in a letter to Flamsteed, he says that the Sun's "getting out of his eclipse was preceded by a blood-red streak of light from its left limb, which continued not longer than 6 or 7 seconds of time; then part of the Sun's disc appeared all of a sudden, as bright as Venus was ever seen in the night, nay, brighter; and in that very instant gave a Light and Shadow to things as strong as Moon-light uses to do." On this Flamsteed remarks: "The captain is the first man I ever heard of that took notice of a Red Streak of Light preceding the Emersion of the Sun's body from a total Eclipse. And I take notice of it to you [*i.e.,* the Royal Society] because it infers that *the Moon has an atmosphere*; and its short continuance of only six or seven seconds of time, tells us that *its height is not more than the five or six hundredth part of her diameter.*"

Very different, we now know, was the true significance of those remarkable phenomena, so startling when first observed; but it was long a subject of discussion whether they appertained to the Sun or the Moon. But, before leaving the eclipse of 1706, attention should be called to some observations made

by M. Fatio de Duillier,* who was located at Geneva, and describes the appearance of what is now technically called the Sun's corona. After speaking of a narrow whiteness (he did not notice the red streak in this, seen by Capt. Stannyan) he says that the Moon "did appear very black, and her Disk very well-defined, within the Whiteness, which encompassed it about, and whose Colour was the same with that of a White Crown or *Halo,* of about four or five Degrees of Diameter, which accompanied it, and had the Moon for its Center." Two observers at Montpellier give a similar description.

The next great eclipse was total in London and its neighbourhood in the year 1715, on the 22nd of April, O.S. (May 3rd, N.S.). Flamsteed observed it at Greenwich, Prof. Cotes at Cambridge. Halley (then secretary of the Royal Society) had circulated a map of its course through the country, and observed it himself at the Society's apartments in Crane Court. Both the luminous ring or corona and the red flashes or streaks were noticed. Although he considered that the ring was due to a lunar atmosphere, he was astonished at the great height to which it (on that supposi-

* He was long resident in England, and died near Worcester in 1753, aged 89.

tion) extended; and was afterwards struck by the remarks of some other careful observers, that the breadth of the ring increased on the west side of the Moon as the emersion approached. This would indicate that its parts were successively covered by the Moon as she advanced, and show, if proved, that the phenomena did not appertain to her. Halley, however, did not feel confident on this point. But with regard to the appearances nearer the Moon's dark disc, he says, "I found that there were perpetual Flashes or Coruscations of Light, which seemed for a Moment to dart out from behind the Moon, now here, now there, on all Sides, but more especially on the Western Side, a little before the Emersion; And about two or three Seconds before it, on the same Western Side where the Sun was just coming out, a long and very narrow Streak of a dusky but strong Red Light seemed to colour the dark Edge of the Moon, tho' nothing like it had been seen immediately after the Immersion. But this instantly vanished upon the first Appearance of the Sun, as did also the aforesaid luminous Ring." We have said that several persons thought that the luminous ring or corona (as it is now universally called) was successively covered and uncovered by the motion of the Moon.

of Louisiana,* a post which he held for only a short time. From 1770 to 1780 he was in command of a naval squadron, and it was whilst thus acting as an admiral that he observed the eclipse in question, being at the time on board the *Espagne,* and sailing from the Azores to Cape St. Vincent, where he landed on June 29, five days after the eclipse. His account of the phenomenon is given (both in French and English) in vol. lxix. of the 'Philosophical Transactions.' In several respects he expresses his regret that the observation being made on shipboard precluded the determination of measures which might have been useful; but his description of the corona or luminous ring (as he calls it) is very interesting. The totality lasted about four minutes, from 16 to 12 minutes before 4 o'clock in the afternoon. "Five or six seconds," he says, "after the immersion, we began to observe round the Moon a very brilliant circle of light, which seemed to have a rapid circular motion, something similar to that of a rocket turning about its centre. This light became livelier and more dazzling in proportion as the centre of the Moon approached to that of the

* Louisiana was ceded to Spain in 1762, restored to France in 1800, sold to the United States in 1803, and constituted a State in 1812.

Sun, and about the middle of the eclipse it was of the breadth of about a sixth of the Moon's diameter. Out of this luminous circle there issued forth rays of light, which reached to the distance of a diameter of the Moon, sometimes more, sometimes less, which made one think that they were parts of a weaker light which were reflected in an atmosphere more subtle than that in which the ring was formed....The colour of the light was not the same everywhere; the part immediately joining the disk of the Moon was of a reddish cast, from thence it changed towards a pale yellow, which about the middle began to clear, till, at the external extremity, it ended in an almost entire white. It was equally brilliant throughout, and the whirling motion, common to all the parts of it, seemed to change the form and position of the rays, which appeared to the eye sometimes longer, sometimes shorter, at the same time that there was no change either in the colours of the ring, or their arrangement." Ulloa held the older and now long since quite exploded notion that the luminous ring or corona was the effect of the Sun shining through a lunar atmosphere. But one of the most interesting of his observations (confirmed by those of two of his officers) was what he calls a small point

formation (almost as if caused by the ignition of a fine train of gunpowder) of the row of "lucid points, like a string of bright beads, irregular in size and distance from each other."

It is, however (as Mrs. Todd remarks in her valuable little book on 'Total Eclipses of the Sun,' 2nd edition, p. 119), from the great eclipse of 1842 that we must date the dawn of a golden age of physical research upon the Sun. That eclipse occurred on the morning of the 8th of July, and was central and total over a large part of southern Europe. To witness it, Airy, then Astronomer Royal, left the Greenwich watch-towers, and proceeded to Turin; Arago, Director of the Paris Observatory, repaired to Perpignan (near which he had been born); Baily went to Pavia; and many other astronomers of different nationalities occupied (as the expression now is) various points on the line of totality. Sir John Herschel was at Milan, and describes, in a letter to his aunt, the shouts raised by the crowd at the sudden darkness caused by the eclipse, and the appearance round the Sun when his disc was wholly covered by the Moon.

Baily, by the side of his telescope in an upper room of the University of Pavia, speaks of being "astounded by a tremendous burst of applause from the streets below, and at the

same moment electrified at the sight of one of the most brilliant and splendid phenomena that can well be imagined," referring to the sudden appearance of the corona in all its glory. The breadth, estimated from the Moon's circumference, was nearly equal to half her diameter. He was also struck by the appearance of three large protuberances, of a roseate cast of colour and somewhat resembling the snowy tops of the Alpine mountains when coloured by the rising or setting Sun. These were also well seen by the other observers, Airy comparing them in shape to the teeth of a circular saw. The appearance and extent of the corona were very different as seen from different places, influenced, doubtless, both by atmospheric conditions and the amount of apparent elevation of the Sun in the sky; Struve, who observed at Lipetzk, in southern Russia, estimating it as many times larger than those did who saw it from Italy.

If the south of Europe attracted astronomers in 1842, the north did quite as much in 1851. For on the 28th of July in that year, the line of centrality of a large total eclipse passed over the south of Norway and Sweden, afterwards crossing the Baltic into East Prussia and Poland. It was on this occasion that it was recognised that the rose-coloured protuberances

were, in fact, produced by upward rushes of gaseous matter from a continuous envelope round the Sun, to which Airy applied the term "sierra"; also, that they were manifestly covered and then uncovered by the dark body of the Moon as she passed on, proving conclusively that they were solar phenomena. A good daguerreotype of this eclipse was taken at the Konigsberg Observatory by Berkowski.

An annular eclipse passed over England, from Lyme Regis in Dorsetshire, to the Wash between Norfolk and Lincolnshire on the 15th of March, 1858. A total one took place on the 18th of July, 1860, and passed across Spain. It is especially remarkable as being the occasion of the first systematic application, in the hands of De la Rue and Secchi, of photography to the observation of eclipses and the solution of the problems connected therewith. The result was that the red flames or prominences were found to present the same appearances at localities far distant from each other, whilst photographs taken at successive stages of the eclipse proved beyond the possibility of doubt that the Moon gradually passed over them, and that they, as well as the corona, were appendages of the Sun.

Spectroscopy was now putting forth its

claim as a new and powerful engine in astronomical research. A fine total eclipse (totality to last nearly six minutes) had been predicted for the 18th of August, 1868, but for its effective observation it was necessary to repair to India. English and French spectroscopists went there. The appearance of bright lines in the spectrum at once indicated that the protuberances and the sierra or layer from which they arose were composed of glowing vapours, amongst which it was not difficult to recognise the characteristic light of hydrogen. But was is not possible to give more continuous study to these than could be obtained during the few minutes' duration of a total eclipse? Struck by the brilliancy of the lines in the spectrum at this Indian eclipse, the late Prof. Janssen* (who observed it at Guntoor) exclaimed, "Je verrai ces lignes-là en dehors des éclipses!" It is manifest that the solar appendages are always there, though they had hitherto only been seen (except doubtfully) during total or annular eclipses; just as we know the stars are as much present by day as by night, though the surpassing brightness of the daylight precludes their visibility, with the occasional exception of Venus when exceptionally bright

* Jules César Janssen died on the 23rd of December, 1907, in the eighty-fourth year of his age.

(particularly in winter), and that some of the most brilliant stars can be seen through a good telescope. So the glare of the great luminary, with its atmospheric dispersion, ordinarily deprives us of the sight of his beautiful surroundings, the existence of which is clearly manifested and excites such admiration when a total eclipse occurs. But the little eye of a spectroscopic slit offered a hopeful means of bringing part of these, or, at any rate, of their effects, into view without an eclipse. Indeed, the monochromatic light which forms the bright lines in the spectrum especially admits of showing itself above the continuous light when this is sufficiently dispersed. On the morning following the eclipse, and during several subsequent days, Janssen succeeded in this way in obtaining evidence of violent and rapid changes in progress in the gaseous upward rushes from the chromosphere—as it began to be called about this time. During the eclipse of which we have been speaking, a huge spiral structure, at least 89,000 miles high, was perceived, formed, as Major (now General) Tennant concluded from its appearance on his photographs, by the encounter of two mountain torrents of flame. Next morning Janssen found that, like the baseless fabric of a vision, it had so passed away that scarcely a trace remained

to show where it had been. But the great French physicist was not alone in his discovery of the means of thus studying the protuberances and their changes without an eclipse. Prof, (now Sir) Norman Lockyer had for some time conceived the idea that these might be seen by the aid of a special kind of spectroscope which he had ordered to be constructed for the purpose. Delays in its completion unfortunately prevented experiments with its use until the 20th of October, 1868; and so it came about that his report of his success reached the French Academy a few minutes only before the dispatch of Janssen communicating his observations in this way. The principle had also occurred to Dr. (now Sir William) Huggins some time before, though his success in its application dates after those of Janssen and Lockyer. To the latter, we should remark, is due the appellation of chromosphere, by which the solar envelope is called, from which the red flames or protuberances proceed.

The year after the Indian eclipse, *i.e.,* on the 7th of August, 1869, one occurred in North America, which passed diagonally across the United States from Behring's Straits to the coast of North Carolina. This was remarkable for the discoveries made in the spectrum of the corona, the full significance of which

was not apparent until long afterwards. Nearly at the end of the following year, on the 22nd of December, 1870, an eclipse was total for little more than two minutes over part of the Mediterranean and its shores. Janssen escaped out of Paris (then surrounded by German armies) in a balloon, and went to Oran to see this eclipse, but his hopes were defeated by the cloudy weather. An English party on the same occasion suffered shipwreck on the coast of Sicily, and were not able to do anything effective. But the eclipse will ever be memorable for the late Prof. Young's (of Princeton, New Jersey) first perception of the reversal of the lines (bright for dark) in the solar spectrum. Very nearly a year after this eclipse, on the 12th of December, 1871, an eclipse was total in southern India and in Australia. Janssen, Lockyer, Tennant, Col. Herschel, and several others observed this, which fully proved a large part of the corona to consist of reflected sunlight (confirming the evidence obtained by the polariscope), and also that it contained hydrogen far above the region to which the prominences extend.

No other eclipse of importance for the study of solar physics occurred till 1878, on the 29th of July, and this conferred its greatest favours on the western States of North America.

The corona was much smaller and less brilliant than in the last-mentioned eclipses. It is impossible to avoid connecting this with the fact that 1871 was an epoch of sun-spot maximum, whereas these phenomena were nearly at a minimum in 1878. Still very interesting observations of its form were made; and specially interesting were the results obtained by viewing it from great elevations in the Rocky Mountains, the late Prof. Langley* in particular observing it from the summit of Pike's Peak, 14,100 feet above the level of the sea. In this situation the corona remained perceptible until more than four minutes after totality had ceased. Previous results as to its composition were confirmed.

Nearly four years more passed away. On the 17th May, 1882, an eclipse was total in Upper Egypt for little more than a minute, an interval which, however, was fully utilised. We were now almost at a sun-spot maximum, and the appearance of the corona strongly resembled that noticed in 1871, the previous epoch of maximum. Valuable additional observations were made by the application of the photographic camera and the spectroscope to this marvellous solar appendage, the

* This great American physicist died in the month of February, 1906.

resemblance of which to the zodiacal light had before been recognised, suggesting a community of origin. But a very remarkable circumstance attended this eclipse, which must not be passed over. One of Dr. Schuster's photographs, taken at Sohag, depicted a bright comet near the outer limit of the corona, which was rendered momentarily visible by the eclipse, but not seen before or since.

As we mentioned in the former part of this little treatise, one was seen first during a total eclipse in A.D. 418, but that was also observed for several months afterwards, whereas the comet of 1882 only manifested its existence by being registered on a photographic plate whilst the Sun was totally obscured. It was after this eclipse that Sir W. Huggins conceived the idea that a part of the corona might be photographed without an eclipse. But it can hardly be said that unequivocal success has yet been obtained in this ; and it has been thought that the effects of the volcanic explosion in the Straits of Sunda in the month of August, 1883 (which produced very remarkable coloured sunrises and sunsets, lasting a long time afterwards), prevented during several years delicate observations from being obtained in the vicinity of the Sun.

On the 6th of May, 1883, an eclipse occurred,

total for more than five minutes where it was central; but, unfortunately, its line passed over the southern Pacific Ocean, and the only available place from which to observe it was a coral reef named Caroline Island, about 7½ miles long by 1½ miles wide. It is near the Marquesas group, and its existence had only been known since 1874. Nevertheless, astronomers were not to be balked of their opportunity, and as the weather fortunately admitted of it, some valuable observations were made, though chiefly confirmatory of those previously obtained; whilst a fruitless search was made for a supposed planet within the orbit of Mercury. The corona was identical in appearance with that of 1882; and the sun-spot maximum of that year, it will be remembered, was exceptionally protracted.

An eclipse was total in New Zealand on the 9th of September, 1885, but no observations of any importance were made on that occasion, so that we must pass on to the year 1886, when an eclipse, on the 29th of August, was total during about four minutes, at Grenada in the West Indies, and the adjacent parts of South America, though the greatest length of totality (more than six and a half minutes) was unfortunately in the middle of the Atlantic

Ocean. English, Italian, and American astronomers repaired to Grenada and its neighbourhood. They were, on the whole, by no means favoured by the weather; but some good results were obtained, the late Prof. Tacchini in particular calling attention to very elevated developments of protuberances of a kind which cannot be manifested by the spectroscope under ordinary circumstances without an eclipse. The corona, as photographed by Dr. Schuster and Mr. Maunder, was of what may be called an intermediate type.

An eclipse which occurred on the 19th of August, 1887, was a great disappointment on account of the cloudiness of the skies over the greatest part of its track. This passed from Berlin (where the Sun rose eclipsed) in an easterly direction through Russia and Siberia, and then south-easterly to Japan. A few photographs of the corona were caught between clouds, but on the whole the occasion proved a failure for any important work. Prof. Todd went to Japan, where, as in many other places, nothing could be seen of the phenomenon. The Russian professor Mendeléjeff * ascended in a balloon to the height of more than two miles, and had a fine view

* This eminent physicist was born at Tobolsk in 1834, and died at St. Petersburg in 1907.

of the corona, his object being to observe its extent and structure when seen through highly rarefied air.

The year 1888 had no total eclipses, but 1889 furnished two, the first of these being on New Year's Day. The shadow path was but narrow, and the duration of totality in California amounted to less than two minutes. Weather was, however, favourable, and a large number of excellent photographic records were taken. The occasion was one of solar spot minimum, and it was found that the structure of the corona was of the so-called "winged" type, the streamers being noted to extend approximately in the direction of the ecliptic. But it was felt that the outermost parts of the corona should be photographed, and it was hoped that an opportunity might be obtained on the occasion of the second total eclipse of that year, which occurred on the 22nd December. The scenes of operations were this time to be the northern coast of South America, and the west coast of Africa, the shadow passing across the Atlantic Ocean in a nearly easterly direction. But disappointment was again the order of the day. Some observations indeed were obtained, but they were taken through misty air; and the most remarkable event of the eclipse was

a very sad one. Father Perry, of Stonyhurst College, who had taken part in many scientific expeditions, went on this occasion to the Salut Islands, off Cayenne, in French Guiana. Stricken with malaria, he managed to take a few photographs of the eclipse, and died at sea only five days afterwards.

The next total eclipse occurred on the 16th of April, 1893. The line of totality passed from Chile to Cape Verde; the weather was fine, and both in South America and West Africa (especially the former) valuable results were achieved. It is impossible to furnish, in our short space, even a brief, much less an adequate, account of these; but we give some of the remarks made by Prof. Pickering, of Harvard College, who was located at Minasaris in Chile, and speaks of the atmospheric conditions there as perfect. As regards the form of the corona, he says the observations indicated a state of great disturbance. Four streamers were seen proceeding from the corona, two of which stretched over a distance of more than 435,000 miles. Dark rifts were also visible, extending directly westward from the Moon's limb to the utmost limit of the corona. Several solar prominences attained great distinctness and brilliancy, some reaching to a height of 80,000 miles. These were well photo-

graphed. The corona showed a conical structure, with a network of fine filaments visible to the naked eye. Comparison of photographs taken at different places indicated the presence and motion of a comet in the interior part of the corona. On the whole, the observations of this eclipse showed that though some streamers were seen, the corona generally exhibited that uniform distribution characteristic of eclipses occurring at times of maximum sun-spot activity.

In 1894 an eclipse of the Sun on the 29th of September was total, but only for a very short time, over part of the Indian Ocean, so that no attempt was made to observe it. But great expectations were formed with regard to one which occurred on the 9th of August, 1896, expeditions being organised to observe it in Norwegian Lapland, and in Yezo, the northern island of Japan. At the former locality the duration of totality amounted to less than two minutes; at the latter to about two and a half minutes; and in south-eastern Siberia to nearly three minutes. But bad weather prevailed at nearly all the stations, excepting at Novaya Zemlya, whither a party had accompanied the late Sir George Baden Powell in his yacht. This included the late Mr. Stone, then Radcliffe Observer at Oxford,

and Mr. Shackleton, of South Kensington, who obtained some good observations and photographs of the corona, which was found to be of an intermediate type, presenting a striking resemblance to that of the eclipse in 1886, about ten years before. The next total eclipse of the Sun took place on the 22nd of January, 1898, the shadow of which passed from Central Africa across the ocean to the south of Arabia into India. Parties of astronomers spread themselves from the neighbourhood of Bombay to that of Benares, and, being favoured by fine weather, made extensive and valuable observations. The corona presented a striking resemblance to that of the Indian eclipse of 1868, which was as long (about two years) after a minimum of sunspots as the eclipse in question was before one. A great extension of the corona was photographed by Mrs. Maunder.

In the year 1900, on the 28th of May, took place a total eclipse, the central line of which passed from America across the Atlantic to Portugal, Spain, and North Africa, and was well observed, though the duration of totality nowhere exceeded one and a half minutes. The Astronomer Royal (Mr., now Sir William Christie) and his party photographed it on the coast of Portugal, whilst many English

astronomers repaired to stations in Spain and others to Algiers. Prof. Todd obtained valuable results at Tripoli, the easternmost point of observation. The corona was found to be of a type similar to those exhibited in 1878 and 1889 when, as in 1900, the sun-spots were nearly at a minimum.

In a total eclipse which took place in 1901, on the 18th of May, the central line passed from the south coast of Madagascar across the Indian Ocean to Sumatra, Borneo, and New Guinea. The duration of totality where greatest amounted to six and a half minutes, but the weather was not so fine there as at Mauritius and Reunion, where English and French observers (the former including Mr. and Mrs. Maunder) were favoured by clear sky during totality. Valuable observations were obtained on those islands and also at Sumatra, where, however, intermittent clouds prevented complete success.

Total eclipses occurred on the 21st of September, 1903, and the 9th of the same month in 1904; but the former was visible only in the extreme south near the Antarctic Circle, and the central fine of the latter was confined to the Pacific Ocean.

The total eclipse of August 30th, 1905, was well observed at several places, particularly in

North Africa and at some stations in Spain; but, unfortunately, no observation was possible in Labrador, to which an expedition was sent. Valuable results were obtained in Europe and Africa; the corona was of a fully developed maximum type, and a magnificent group of prominences was seen in the eastern limb of the Sun. One of the Astronomer Royal's photographs of this eclipse is given by his permission as a frontispiece to this treatise.

On the 14th of January, 1907, an eclipse took place which was total only in Central Asia, and though parties repaired to the neighbourhood of Samarkand, the weather prevented any effective observation from being made. Another occurred on the 3rd of January, 1908, the shadow of which passed only over the Pacific Ocean and the central line crossed land only over two very small islands. Some observations, however, were obtained on Flint Island (one of these) by Prof. Campbell and Mr. McClean. The corona resembled to a considerable extent that seen in India in 1898.

The great scientific interest of eclipses, especially of total eclipses, of the Sun will be evident from what has preceded. The same cannot be said of Lunar eclipses; but our plan requires that a few words should be devoted to

them and to the bearing of some of those observed in ancient times upon chronological questions.

An eclipse of the Moon is in many respects a different phenomenon from one of the Sun. For the Moon's shadow sometimes does not reach the Earth, and when, from her greater proximity, it does reach our globe, it can at most cover only a zone not more than 170 miles in diameter, and the duration of totality of an eclipse of the Sun never exceeds eight minutes. But the Earth's shadow extends far beyond the distance of the Moon, and when the latter is centrally eclipsed, the complete obscuration exceeds an hour and a half, and may last as much as an hour and fifty minutes. We say complete obscuration; but even when wholly involved in the Earth's shadow, the Moon is generally visible, of a red or coppery hue. This effect is due to the refraction of the Earth's atmosphere, and varies much in intensity, according to the amount of vapour contained in the parts of the atmosphere through which the solar rays pass at the time. The eclipse of the 19th of March, 1848, was remarkable for the distinctness with which the Moon was seen throughout, and the deepness of the red colour. On the other hand, during the eclipses of May 18, 1761, and June 10,

1816, the Moon became completely invisible. And cases have occurred in which part of the Moon has continued visible during totality while the rest has been wholly obscured. The difference, of course, has to do with the Earth's atmosphere, and the conditions of certain parts of it, but not at all with the Moon herself.

The earliest Lunar eclipses of which we have any trustworthy account are three copied by Ptolemy from Chaldsæn records. They were observed at Babylon on dates corresponding in our chronology to the 19th of March, B.C. 721, and the 8th of March and the 1st of September, B.C. 720, during the reign of a king whom Ptolemy calls Mardokempados, but whose Chaldæan name was Merodach-Baladan, and who carried on a long contest with Sargon, the great king of Assyria, which ended in the complete success of the latter and the subjection of Babylon to the rule of the Ninevite kings. Of these three eclipses, the first only was total. Ptolemy also reports a partial lunar eclipse, observed at Babylon in the fifth year of the reign of Nabopolassar (who, in conjunction with Cyaxares, had taken and destroyed Nineveh), which is calculated to have occurred on the 22nd of April, B.C. 621; and three other partial eclipses, in the seventh year of the reign of Cambyses, king of Persia,

and the twentieth and thirty-first years of Darius, the son of Hystaspes, the dates of which were July 16, B.C. 523, Nov. 19, B.C. 502, and April 25, B.C. 491, the last being the year preceding the battle of Marathon.

A total eclipse of the Moon, mentioned by Aristophanes in 'The Clouds,' was observed at Athens on the 9th of October, B.C. 425; and one which fell on the 27th of August, B.C. 413, so frightened Nicias, general of the Athenian army in Sicily, that it caused the delay in his retreat from Syracuse which led to the destruction of his whole army. Xenophon speaks of a total one which occurred in the twenty-sixth year of the Peloponnesian War (that of the sea-fight at Arginusæ, in which Callicratidas, the Spartan commander, was killed), and was evidently that of the 15th of April, B.C. 406.

Ptolemy reports two other Babylonian eclipses (both partial), observed on dates corresponding to Dec. 23, B.C. 383, and June 18, B.C. 382. A small one also occurred on the 9th of August, B.C. 357, whilst Dion was setting out to attack Dionysius, tyrant of Syracuse.* After this, the most noteworthy lunar eclipse

* According to Plutarch, Dion himself understood the cause, but it somewhat alarmed his men, until the diviner declared it to be a good omen.

took place on the night of the 20th of September, B.C. 331, eleven days before the decisive victory of Alexander the Great over Darius at Arbela, or rather at Gaugamela.

Polybius mentions a total eclipse of the Moon seen in Asia Minor on a date corresponding to the 1st of September, B.C. 218, which so alarmed some Gaulish mercenaries in the service of Attalus I., king of Pergamos, that they refused to advance any further. This, it will be remembered, was about the time that Hannibal was making his famous passage over the Alps into Italy.

We owe to Ptolemy a notice of four which were observed at Alexandria during the rule of the Ptolemies : two total in the same year, on the 19th of March and the 12th of September, B.C. 200; and two partial on dates corresponding to Sept. 22, B.C. 201, and May 1, B.C. 174. These are not connected with any historical events; but one which occurred on the 3rd of September, B.C. 168, was on the night preceding the victory of Pydna, gained by Paulus Æmilius over Perseus, the last king of Macedon.

We shall only refer to one later lunar eclipse on account of its importance—that stated by Josephus to have occurred towards the end of the reign of Herod the Great. This

was probably the total eclipse which was visible in Western Asia on the evening of the 15th of September, B.C. 5; and enables us to assign that year as the date of the birth of Christ, Herod dying early in the following year, B.C. 4.

The principal point to which attention is to be directed in future solar eclipses, and further information sought, is the nature and composition of the corona. Though an appendage of the Sun, it cannot be a solar atmosphere in the sense in which our atmosphere is of the Earth. Its periodical changes seem to show that these are connected with changes of internal energy in the Sun, from which at any rate a large portion of it is emitted. Much is yet to be learnt respecting its constitution, and it is hoped that means may be found for studying it, like the protuberances, without waiting for the rare occasion of a total solar eclipse. One thing is quite certain — the matter composing it must be of very great tenuity, for comets have on several occasions passed through it without undergoing sensible retardation.

A few words in conclusion in regard to future total eclipses of the Sun. The next will take place on the 17th of June, 1909, the central line of which will pass over the North Pole,

so that it will only be total in parts of Northern Siberia and of Greenland. Another will occur on the 8th of May, 1910, but its central line will be confined to the Antarctic Ocean; it will be visible as a partial eclipse over the whole of Australia, and total for a short time in Tasmania less than an hour before sunset. In 1911 there will be a total eclipse on the 28th of April, the central line of which will pass over the Pacific Ocean from south-eastern Australia to Central America. Not until the 29th of June, 1927, will a solar eclipse be total in any part of the British Islands; the central line of this will, after skirting the east coast of Ireland, pass across the north of England, and then traverse nearly the whole length of Norway. In 1999, on the 11th of August, a total eclipse will pass over the south-western corner of England, and then cross the Channel to France and Central Europe.

List of Dates of the Eclipses referred to in the pages of this Volume.

ECLIPSES OF THE SUN.

ECLIPSES OF THE SUN—*continued.*

ECLIPSES OF THE MOON.

PRINTED BY
J. E. FRANCIS & CO.,
BREAM'S BUILDINGS,
CHANCERY LANE, LONDON, E.C.